

## VSP Public Comment

---

**From:** acarofaker@mailcity.com  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 30, 2005 9:04 AM  
**To:** Secretary of State, Constituent Affairs  
**Cc:** McDannold, Bruce  
**Subject:** Standards for AVVPAT

Should California reject the proposed Diebold system? Vote=Yes

In making decisions today on our voting systems, you are setting California's course for the 2008 election. I urge you to NOT accept mediocre solutions from a tainted corporation to which the great state and people of California owe nothing.

Please reject the mediocre and ridiculous voting system Diebold is offering California. Why do we need a system that would make it less than efficient to conduct a recount? What purpose does it serve to buy any system that isn't designed to make recounts as efficient as possible?

It is unconscionable and negligent of any public election official to not consider such a fundamental matter as optimizing potential recounts of paper votes when selecting a voting system. I will watch this matter closely and will take action to ensure the California electorate is not handicapped by the laughable Diebold system and its ilk.

Thank you,

Alejandro Caro  
Los Angeles, CA 90025

Mr. Alejandro Caro  
1517 S. Barrington Ave  
Los Angeles, CA 90025

### Citizen Proposed Standards:

The AVVPAT shall be printed on single sheet non-thermal at least 16 pound paper, one record of vote per sheet.

Every recorded vote, no matter how recorded, shall have a AVVPAT copy.

The AVVPAT record of the vote shall be printed in a minimum of 12 point font.

The AVVPAT shall be printed and organized to be easily read by both the voter and election officials.

The AVVPAT during the 1% manual audit and any recount shall be physically verified and hand counted only.

The recorded vote choices on the AVVPAT shall not be audited or recounted by automatic or electronic methods.

There shall not be a method by which any particular voting record can be connected to any particular voter.

Any AVVPAT spoiled or rejected by a voter because of a voting system error shall not be counted as a spoiled ballot under the two spoiled ballots limit.

No remote access to voting machines by wireless or internet.